

Research Review: Environmental exposures, neurodevelopment, and child mental health – new paradigms for the study of brain and behavioral effects

Virginia A. Rauh,^{1,2} and Amy E. Margolis^{2,3}

¹Heilbrunn Department of Population and Family Health, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, NY; ²Columbia Center for Children's Environmental Health, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, NY; ³Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

Background: Environmental exposures play a critical role in the genesis of some child mental health problems.

Methods: We open with a discussion of children's vulnerability to neurotoxic substances, changes in the distribution of toxic exposures, and cooccurrence of social and physical exposures. We address trends in prevalence of mental health disorders, and approaches to the definition of disorders that are sensitive to the subtle effects of toxic exposures. We suggest broadening outcomes to include dimensional measures of autism spectrum disorders, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, and child learning capacity, as well as direct assessment of brain function.

Findings: We consider the impact of two important exposures on children's mental health: lead and pesticides. We argue that longitudinal research designs may capture the cascading effects of exposures across biological systems and the full-range of neuropsychological endpoints. Neuroimaging is a valuable tool for observing brain maturation under varying environmental conditions. A dimensional approach to measurement may be sensitive to subtle subclinical toxic effects, permitting the development of exposure-related profiles and testing of complex functional relationships between brain and behavior. Questions about the neurotoxic effects of chemicals become more pressing when viewed through the lens of environmental justice. **Conclusions:** Reduction in the burden of child mental health disorders will require longitudinal study of neurotoxic exposures, incorporating dimensional approaches to outcome assessment, and measures of brain function. Research that seeks to identify links between toxic exposures and mental health outcomes has enormous public health and societal value. **Keywords:** Mental health; environmental influences; neuropsychology; brain development.

Introduction

The environment is now known to be a powerful determinant of child health, with increasing evidence that some chemicals are particularly toxic to the human brain. This evidence, documenting links between exposures and neurodevelopmental damage, was comprehensively reviewed by Grandjean and Landrigan in 2006; (Grandjean & Landrigan, 2006) and updated in 2014 (Grandjean & Landrigan, 2014). The authors identified more than a dozen industrial chemicals that can be reliably classified as known developmental neurotoxicants, and postulated that there are thousands of potential neurotoxicants that remain untested in humans (Grandjean, Satoh, Murata, & Eto, 2010; Slotkin & Seidler, 2012; Grandjean, 2013). The story of exposures to such hazardous chemicals, dubbed the 'chemical brain drain' (Grandjean & Landrigan, 2014), frequently begins with observations of adult clinical toxicity, followed much later by worrisome findings of child or even fetal subclinical toxicity, occurring at exposure levels previously thought to be safe (Needleman, 2000; Landrigan & Goldman, 2011). Neurotoxicants are relevant to mental health

generally but particularly when mental health is conceptualized in relation to neurodevelopmental disorders as introduced in DSM-5.

The developing brain is particularly vulnerable to toxic chemical exposures, as exemplified by lead and selected pesticides, and this sensitivity is likely greatest in utero and throughout early childhood (Grandjean, 2013). From the animal literature, we know that, during these critical periods of brain development, low exposures that would have little or no adverse effect in adults can cause permanent disruptions in normal maturational processes (Rice & Barone, 2000). Although it is more difficult to establish causality in human populations, strategies such as sibling designs or the incorporation of genetic variants that modulate toxicant metabolism in a natural experiment can clarify the likelihood of causal effects (Lewis, Relton, Zammit, & Smith, 2013). The central nervous system disruptions associated with some toxic chemical exposures may have far-reaching effects on socioemotional adjustment, educational success, and quality of life. Adverse child outcomes that have been associated with early chemical exposures highlight the entire neurodevelopmental spectrum, including intellectual disability, autism spectrum disorder (Landrigan, Lambertini, & Birnbaum, 2012), attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder

Conflict of interest statement: No conflicts declared.

(ADHD) (Sagiv et al., 2012a; Sagiv et al., 2012b, Boucher et al., 2012; Froehlich et al., 2011), motor delays (Lucchini, Guazzetti, & Zoni, 2012; Roze et al., 2009), and learning disabilities (Zhang et al., 2013; Khan, Wasserman, & Liu, 2012; Kofman, Berger, Massarwa, Friedman, & Jaffar, 2006), to more subtle deficits, such as slightly lowered IQ and subclinical learning or attention problems (Rauh et al., 2011; Yolton, Dietrich, Auinger, Lanphear, & Hornung, 2005; Cho, Frijters, Zhang, Miller, & Gruen, 2013). We now know that even small cognitive deficits can have important consequences for long-term academic success and productivity, particularly when viewed at the level of impacts on society (Bellinger, 2009; Gould, 2009). A recent review showed that the magnitude of total IQ losses attributable to lead, pesticides, and other neurotoxic exposures was comparable to, or greater than, the IQ deficits associated with major pediatric medical events such as preterm birth, traumatic brain injury, brain tumors, and congenital heart disease (Bellinger, 2012). Furthermore, the antisocial behavior, violence, and substance abuse associated with early-life exposures to some neurotoxic chemicals are extremely costly to individuals and society (Schwartz, 1994; Nevin, 2007; Gould, 2009). Thus, continued scrutiny of these associations is extremely important.

While increasing numbers of epidemiologic and clinical studies continue to explore links between neurotoxic exposures and child mental health, new research findings need regular evaluation in the context of secular changes in the definition and prevalence of child psychopathology as well as changes in the environmental distribution of neurotoxic substances. The present review begins with a brief discussion of children's unique vulnerability to neurotoxic substances. Secondly, we review changes over time in the distribution of toxic environmental exposures, including the often neglected issue of the cooccurrence and potential interactions between social and physical environmental exposures. Thirdly, we address trends in the prevalence of mental health and neuropsychological disorders, and new approaches to the definition of disorders that may be more sensitive to the subtle effects of toxic exposures. Fourthly, we argue for the further broadening of outcomes in neurotoxicology studies to include (a) measures of brain function, and (b) increased attention to the domains of learning capacity, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, and autism spectrum disorder – outcomes with important implications for understanding child psychological well-being. Finally, in light of the changing landscape, we reconsider the impact of two important environmental exposures on children's mental health and neuropsychological development: lead and pesticides.

Our selection of lead and pesticides to illustrate links between toxicant exposures and mental health outcomes aims to illustrate important principles, not to imply that the study of these two neurotoxicants is

sufficient. The growing public health problem of widespread exposure in the general population to a range of synthetic chemicals, plasticizers and other endocrine disrupting or neurotoxic compounds is a relatively recent source of concern to the medical and public health communities, especially with respect to the potential for early and persistent brain compromise (Genius, 2008). As yet, only a few industrial chemicals (e.g., lead, methylmercury, polychlorinated biphenyls, arsenic, and toluene) are widely recognized contributors to neurodevelopmental disorders and subclinical brain dysfunction. According to a review by Grandjean and Landrigan (2006), another 200 chemicals are associated with clinical neurotoxic effects in adults, but have not yet been fully tested in relation to children, so that we are lacking the high level of proof required for regulation. The high volume of new chemicals that are being introduced annually and the urgent need for updated safety standards have severely strained the capacity of the US EPA to manage the risk assessment process (U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2008; U.S. EPA, 2009; McCarthy & Copeland, 2015).

Vulnerability of the developing child

Children are fundamentally more vulnerable than adults to toxic chemicals in their environment (Faustman, Silbernagel, Fenske, Burbacher, & Ponce, 2000; Thompson, 2004; Landrigan, Kimmel, Correa, & Eskenazi, 2004; Dourson, Chernly, & Schuenplein, 2002). They have disproportionately heavier exposures to chemicals, reflecting their higher metabolic rate, and therefore greater consumption of food, water, and air per pound of body weight. Furthermore, children are undergoing rapid growth and development, especially in the central nervous system, and these processes are accompanied by windows of great plasticity and vulnerability. In addition, immature metabolic pathways render children less able than adults to break down and excrete toxic compounds. The identification of developmental periods during which an exposure is likely to be highest and have the greatest effect has implications for dose-response relationships over time and for risk assessment tolerances at different stages of maturation. Because multiple biological mechanisms participate in the relevant pathophysiologic processes, some neurodevelopmental pathways may be activated or disturbed at lower levels of exposure than others. As a result, apparently 'safe' levels of exposure for some pathways may be toxic for other pathways.

Secular changes in patterns of neurotoxic exposures

Over the past 50 years, there have been broad-scale improvements in the physical and chemical environment, including improved sanitation and

clean drinking water. At the same time, there have also been dramatic increases in the production of new chemicals, largely synthetic, including plastics, pesticides, building materials, antibiotics, flame retardants, and synthetic hormones (Grandjean et al., 2010; Grandjean & Landrigan, 2014). Each neurotoxic exposure has its own unique history of use, regulatory action, and patterns of exposure. As described below in relation to lead and pesticides, changes in the population-level distribution of exposures, often as a result of regulatory policies, may represent a reduction in the total burden of risk to children, without addressing differential neurodevelopmental risk to groups of children who vary by age and susceptibility.

Ideally, regulatory decisions for chemicals are based on the weight of the scientific evidence. However, regulatory action often lags years behind the science, at least partly as a function of social and economic interests (Rosner & Markowitz, 2005; Markowitz & Rosner, 2003). For example, removal of lead from gasoline was a slow process occurring over a 20-year period from 1975 to 1996, although the US Environmental Protection Agency had released a report in 1972, citing the evidence that lead was associated with adverse health outcomes (U.S. EPA, 1972). There has likewise been some tightening of pesticide safety standards, consistent with research showing adverse pesticide effects at lower, subclinical exposure levels (e.g., Rauh et al., 2011; Engel et al., 2011; Bouchard et al., 2011). Given that the timing of exposure likely influences the toxicity of the effect of these subclinical exposures, and that effects involve multiple biological pathways (at the cellular, neural systems, and neurobehavioral levels), traditional toxicological methods of risk assessment based on classic monotonic dose-response relationships may fail to capture the complexities of these effects.

Common to many neurotoxic exposures is their *disproportionate* distribution across population groups, with most inequities falling along racial and socioeconomic lines (Brulle & Pellow, 2006). For example, current cases of lead poisoning are disproportionately concentrated in poor minority communities in the United States (Landrigan, Rauh, & Galvez, 2010). With respect to pesticides, farm families and migrant worker communities now carry the heaviest burden (Curwin, Hein, & Sandeson, 2005; Arcury et al., 2007). This disproportionately heavy exposure of poor and minority populations to toxic chemicals, contaminated air and water, and other environmental hazards has been termed *environmental injustice* (Landrigan et al., 2010; Birnbaum, Zenick, & Brance, 2009). Infants and children, because of their unique biological vulnerabilities and age-related patterns of exposure, are especially vulnerable to the health impacts of environmental injustice.

Finally, the neuropsychological effects of toxic exposures are likely amplified by psychosocial adversity both in utero and during early development. This further increases the risk disparity among different groups of children, because disadvantaged populations with disproportionate chemical exposures are also more likely to experience a range of potentially stressful living conditions, including substandard housing, poor nutrition, neighborhood crime, and inadequate health care (e.g., Rauh, Landrigan, & Claudio, 2008; Mohhai, Lantz, Morenoff, House, & Mero, 2009). Such adverse conditions carry their own risk, resulting in damage to the developing brain – a phenomenon now termed ‘toxic stress’ (Shonkoff & Garner, 2012). Moreover, the exacerbation of chemical risk by social risk has now been demonstrated at both the individual level and the community levels (Clougherty et al., 2007; Rauh et al., 2004; Morello-Frosch & Shenassa, 2006; Boyle & Cordero, 2005; Rauh et al., 2008; Cory-Slechta et al., 2008). Therefore, while low level exposures to toxic chemicals are widespread and of general concern, it is important to realize that these risks must be understood in their psychosocial context.

Shifting patterns in the definition, identification, and prevalence of child neurodevelopmental and mental health disorders

Rates of identification of some child developmental and mental health conditions, particularly autism, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, and developmental disabilities, have increased dramatically over the past few decades and even further, in just the past decade, in the United States and some other developed nations (Boyle & Cordero, 2005; CDC, 2012a,b). Such apparent changes in prevalence over time are at least partly due to increased reporting of a disorder and/or modifications in diagnostic criteria, but true increases in incidence are also possible. In California, a 600% increased incidence in autism was observed among children up to 5 years of age for births from 1990 to 2001, yet only one-third of the rise could be explained by identified factors such as changing diagnostic criteria and a younger age at diagnosis (Hertz-Picciotto & Delwiche, 2009).

Accurate assessment of the contribution of newly emerging neurotoxic exposures to any real increase in mental health disorders will depend upon a clearer understanding of other influences and real changes in the distribution or expression of psychopathology over time. It is currently estimated that 13–20% of children living in the United States experience a mental disorder in a given year (Bloom, Cohenm, & Freeman, 2010). Prevalence estimates for specific mental health categories for US children aged 3–17 years were recently provided in a comprehensive report from the Centers for Disease

Control and Prevention (CDC, 2013a,b). ADHD was the most frequent diagnosis (6.8%), followed by behavioral or conduct problems (3.5%), anxiety (3.0%), depression (2.1%), autism spectrum disorders (1.1%), and Tourette syndrome (0.2%). Among adolescents aged 12–17 years, rates for illicit drug use disorder, alcohol use disorder, and cigarette dependence ranged from 2.8% to 4.7%. Overall, the number of children with a mental disorder increased with age, with the exception of autism spectrum disorders, which was highest among 6–11 year old children. Boys were more likely than girls to have ADHD, behavioral or conduct problems, autism spectrum disorders, anxiety, Tourette syndrome, and cigarette dependence. Among adolescents, boys were more likely than girls to die by suicide, and girls were more likely than boys to have depression or an alcohol use disorder.

Prevalence estimates for clinical diagnoses at different ages are key to understanding how early mental health problems affect longer term developmental trajectories. National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) data show that rates of childhood disability have increased from 2% in 1960 to 8% in 2010 (Halfon, Houtrow, Larson, & Newacheck, 2012). Although childhood disability due to physical conditions has declined, there was a 21% increase in frequency of disabilities related to neurodevelopmental or mental health problems. For the first time since the NHIS began tracking childhood disability in 1957, the rise in reported prevalence was highest (28.4%) among socially advantaged families.

Dimensional versus categorical approaches and research domain criteria (RDoC)

Toxic exposures have multiple effects on neural, cognitive, social and emotional function, yet such effects can be subclinical, often failing to reach diagnostic criteria for any single disorder. The current categorical framework (American Psychiatric Association, DSM-5, 2013) does not capture subclinical effects on neurobiological systems that may be altered by chemical exposures. Further, despite efforts to add severity codes to DSM-5, this framework does not effectively map degree of disorder, including dimensional variation in cooccurring symptoms that cut across diagnostic categories. As a result the DSM framework is often inadequate to assess the magnitude of a dose–response relationship. Since the effects of environmental exposures can often be observed across a range of symptoms and biological pathways, the dimensional severity or dose–response magnitude of effect may actually be a more important research question than ‘caseness’ itself (Rose, 1985; Sagiv, Kalkbrenner, & Bellinger, 2015). Because subclinical effects are more common than clinical diagnoses, overreliance on diagnostic categories as endpoints for environmental exposures may result in underidentification of meaningful toxic

effects, potentially resulting in misclassification of outcomes (Sagiv et al., 2015).

Dimensional approaches to understanding psychopathology, including subphenotypes or endophenotypes (e.g., Swanson et al., 2007), complement the categorical approach and may provide greater sensitivity than diagnostic approaches in neurotoxicity studies. While this approach has been long-standing in the field, it is notable that a renewed focus on dimensional constructs at the national level is proposed via the Research Domain Criteria Project (RDoC), developed by the National Institute of Mental Health (Cuthbert & Kozak, 2013; Cuthbert, 2014; Morris & Cuthbert, 2012). The goal of RDoC is to provide a biologically valid framework for understanding mental disorders, and to accelerate the integration of approaches in genetics, neuroscience, and behavioral science (Cuthbert & Insel, 2013; Morris, Rumsey, & Cuthbert, 2014) – an emphasis that is well suited to the study of neurotoxicity. RDoC research thus starts with basic mechanisms as a way to understand homogeneous symptom sets that cut across multiple disorders. RDoC lends itself to the use of phenotypic dimensions, enabling us to develop neuropsychological profiles of children with various exposures and then to study the trajectory of development over time. Covariation of symptoms, interactions, and nonlinearities are more easily explored using continuous outcomes. Such an approach improves statistical power, reduces bias due to diagnostic misclassification (Sagiv et al., 2015), facilitates trajectory analyses (Insel et al., 2010), and is inherently translational. Despite some controversies, this approach promises to further inform the study of links between neurotoxic exposures and child mental health.

Broadening outcome measures in neurotoxicology research

Neurodevelopmental domains deserving more attention in toxicology studies

Although intellectual development (IQ) has been widely studied in relation to neurotoxicants, learning disability (LD), autism spectrum disorders (ASD), and ADHD – all domains with increasing prevalence – have received somewhat less attention. These foci are particularly important now that DSM-5 has placed ADHD in a neurodevelopmental cluster with learning disabilities, autism spectrum disorders, and intellectual disability. Furthermore, such neurodevelopmental domains are well-suited to a dimensional approach, allowing for the study of dose–response effects on degree of impairment, including subclinical findings. Here, we briefly introduce the rationale for including such domains in future neurotoxicology studies; later, we review the emerging evidence for lead and pesticide effects on these domains.

Etiologic research on Learning Disabilities (LD) has focused largely on endogenous factors, such as genetics, intelligence, and specific cognitive abilities, with scant attention to the influence of exogenous environmental factors on the manifestation of learning and achievement problems (Vellutino, Fletcher, Snowling, & Scanlon, 2004). Several studies have demonstrated that toxic exposures are associated with decreases in performance on achievement tests, using continuous outcome measures (Yolton et al., 2005; Cho et al., 2013), yet little is known about the neurobiological pathways by which these exposures alter performance. The evidence for potentially causal associations of environmental factors with LD comes from experimental work and some mechanistic human studies. Animal studies demonstrate that the neural systems supporting memory and learning are particularly vulnerable to prenatal neurotoxic exposures (Roy et al., 2002). In humans, toxic exposures during critical developmental windows may yield alterations in the maturational trajectory of discrete brain-based circuits that produce distinct learning and achievement processes in otherwise healthy children. For example, single-word reading is supported by a well-defined left hemisphere neural circuit that is disrupted in dyslexia (Richlan, 2012). The maturation of this neural circuit may be affected by a neurotoxic exposure, producing idiopathic learning problems, but the nature and developmental timing of these possible exposure effects have yet to be examined. Research linking toxic exposures to LDs could potentially yield a unique set of environmentally associated learning problem phenotypes. Because exposures to some neurotoxic conditions are potentially modifiable, the potential identification of neurotoxic determinants of learning and achievement problems (including reading and math) has important public health implications for treatment and the development of primary prevention strategies.

Autism spectrum disorders constitute a major public health problem affecting one in 68 children (CDC, 2013a,b). To date, we lack a clear understanding of the causes of ASD despite its serious social impact. Based on the most recent reviews of the role of environmental toxicants in the etiology of ASD (e.g. Rossignol, Genuis, & Frye, 2014; Suades-González, Gascon, Guxens, & Sunyer, 2015; Talbott et al., 2015), a number of classes of chemicals have been identified as potential contributors, including pesticides, phthalates, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), solvents, air particulates (PM_{2.5}), traffic-related pollutants, ozone, and heavy metals, with the strongest evidence found for air pollutants and pesticides. Current findings are tempered by at least three issues – all of which suggest future directions for neurotoxicology research in the mental health arena. First, environmental exposures implicated in ASD typically occur in mixtures, so it is difficult to disentangle the effects of specific compounds or the

potentiating effects of joint exposures. Efforts to identify etiologic chemicals will require sophisticated statistical techniques to accommodate the challenges posed by co-occurrence in complex mixtures (Hastie, Tibshirani, & Friedman, 2009). Second, most extant studies have relied on population-level estimates; possible confounding by socioeconomic status and place of residence is a concern, because both sociodemographic conditions and place of residence may be related to ASD case ascertainment and other potential causal risk factors (Weisskopf, Kioumourtzoglou & Roberts, 2015). Studies including biomarkers of exposure have yielded less consistent findings, smaller sample sizes, and have tended to focus on heavy metals, reporting higher concentrations in blood, urine, hair, brain, or teeth of children with ASD compared with controls. Other biomarker studies have found solvent, phthalate and pesticide levels to be associated with ASD. Third, since the involvement of genetic abnormalities in ASD is well-accepted, the etiology of ASD may involve, at least in a subset of children, complex interactions between genetic factors and specific environmental toxicants. Carefully designed genetic studies, including attention to critical periods of development, are needed to lend weight to possible causal links in this arena.

Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder is the most common childhood neurodevelopmental disorder, with estimated prevalence rates in school-age children of 3–8% (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; CDC, 2013a,b). ADHD is associated with altered brain functioning and is characterized by an inability to focus on tasks, as well as impulsive hyperactive behavior, lethargic inattention, or both. The cooccurrence of ASD and ADHD supports the conceptualization of ADHD as a neurodevelopmental disorder, and argues for increased efforts to identify toxic substances with shared and distinct etiological effects on both ADHD and ASD (Musser et al., 2014; .). Not surprisingly, there is also high comorbidity of LD with ADHD, but again the etiologic basis for this comorbidity is not clear. Although ADHD has been well-studied, recent progress in its conceptualization has potential to further advance our understanding of how neurotoxic exposures affect different subgroups of children, resulting in a range of ADHD type problems. For example, Fair, Bathula, Nikolas, and Nigg (2012) propose that (a) typically developing children can be classified into distinct neuropsychological subgroups with high precision, and (b) some of the heterogeneity in individuals with ADHD might be ‘nested’ in this normal variation (Fair et al., 2012). This suggests that future studies seeking to identify links between toxic chemicals and ADHD-type problems will need to take into consideration the impact of exposures on the full range of ADHD subtypes and the neuropsychological domains that may account for this disorder (Nikolas & Nigg, 2013).

Brain-based indicators of neurotoxicity

The brain is inseparable from a more complete understanding of children's neurodevelopmental disorders like ADHD, ASD, intellectual disability, and LD as well as other psychopathologies of childhood. Recently, several research groups are using Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) in combination with epidemiologic studies to investigate the effects of toxic exposures on neurodevelopment and mental health. Such strategies can potentially detect subclinical biological changes at different time points in order to more completely describe the multifaceted complexities of development. MRI also permits in vivo visualization of many aspects of brain activity, with different imaging modalities yielding powerful information about brain structure, function, and connectivity within the same individuals. Several modalities are particularly well-suited for studies of neurotoxicity because (a) they capture aspects of brain activity that are known to be sensitive to environmental exposures, and (b) they detect disturbances that have been linked to functional developmental and mental health problems. Such tools thus provide more sensitive ways of detecting more subtle neurotoxicant effects, including subclinical changes.

Briefly, structural or anatomical MRI generates static measurements of brain morphology. Rather than estimating volumes of brain regions as was done in early MRI studies, surface morphometric techniques now allow for the comparison of cortical thickness or thinness in local regions as well as comparison of local surface perturbations such as inflections in local cortical surfaces (Bansal, Staib, Xu, Zhu, & Peterson, 2007). Perhaps the most well-known modality of MRI is functional MRI (fMRI), which provides an indirect measure of neuronal activity by measuring changes in blood oxygenation level (BOLD signal). In task-related fMRI, a subject completes a task during scanning, and specific patterns of brain activation identify which brain regions are active and therefore relevant to the activity. Resting state fMRI (rsfMRI) captures spontaneous brain activity when the subject is not performing an explicit task. Brain regions that demonstrate strong coherence of neural activity (synchrony) are thought to be connected in functional networks (Matthews & Fair, 2015) and variations in these measures are widely associated with developmental psychopathology. Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI) measures white matter integrity and fiber connectivity. White matter tracts are myelinated tracts that connect distal and proximal regions in the brain. DTI measures connectivity between brain structures by measuring the direction of movement of water molecules through tissue (Watts, Liston, Niogi, & Ulug, 2003; Casey, Tottenham, Liston, & Durston, 2005) and is also associated with ADHD and other neurodevelopmental conditions.

The potential value of MRI-based assessments of children who have been exposed to neurotoxic chemicals at different concentrations and at different points in development is only recently being explored (Horton, Margolis, Tang & Wright, 2014), and will be discussed in relation to each of the illustrative chemical exposures. Briefly, such powerful tools will permit researchers to not only identify the direct structural, functional, and metabolic effects of neurotoxic exposures on the brain, but also to determine how these brain-based changes mediate the impact of chemical exposures on neuropsychological symptoms and clinical outcomes over time. It will be fascinating to determine to what extent observed brain changes in child mental health disorders are related to neurotoxicant exposures. Most importantly, these tools have the potential to inform the development of biologically targeted, therapeutic interventions in response to evidence of neurotoxicity in the brain and behavioral realm.

The case of lead

Trends in environmental lead exposure

Childhood lead poisoning, an entirely preventable condition, is one of the most extensively studied childhood diseases of toxic environmental origin (CDC, 2000), accounting for about 0.6% of the global burden of disease (WHO, 2009). As a result of substantial efforts in the United States to remove lead from gasoline, paint, pigments, and solder (introduced above and elaborated here), the percentage of children aged 1–5 years with blood lead levels ≥ 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ has dropped dramatically from 88% in 1976–1980, to 4.4% during 1991–1994, to 1.6% during 1999–2002, and to 0.8% during 2007–2010 (CDC, 2013a,b). Currently, a blood lead level ≥ 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ (down from ≥ 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$) is defined as high. Despite these improvements, an estimated 535,000 US children aged 1–5 years have levels ≥ 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ based on the US Census Bureau 2010 data, and about 25% of homes with children under age 6 still have a lead-based paint hazard. As described below, there is ample evidence that even low levels of exposure to lead are associated with neuropsychological deficits (e.g., Lanphear, Dietrich, Auinger, & Cox, 2000; Canfield et al., 2003; Lanphear et al., 2005; Jusko et al., 2008), continuing to present excess, often unacceptable, risk for children. Lead exposure is now thought to be unsafe at any detectable level (Landrigan, 2000), yet nearly all children have detectable levels of lead in their body. Despite decades of evidence about the toxic impact of lead on children's mental health and development, lead continues to be added to paints, toys, cosmetics, and other consumer products worldwide, at least partly due to the shift in manufacturing to lower income countries lacking effective environmental control policies.

We have failed to reach the *Healthy People 2020* objective of reducing mean blood lead levels for all children in the United States (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2012), and differences between the mean blood lead levels of different racial/ethnic and income groups persist. Specifically, the difference between mean blood lead levels of non-Hispanic black children (1.8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$), compared with either non-Hispanic white (1.3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$) or Mexican American (1.3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$) children remains significant ($p < .01$) (CDC, 2013a,b). The difference in mean blood lead levels among children belonging to families with a poverty income ratio <1.3 compared with families with a poverty income ratio ≥ 1.3 is also significant (1.6 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ vs. 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$, respectively [$p < .01$]) (CDC, 2013a,b). These significant differences between the mean blood lead levels by race/ethnicity and income indicate a persistent disparity. According to the US Environmental Protection Agency's definition of environmental justice, this unfair distribution of the lead burden is an example of environmental *injustice* (EPA, 2014). Such disparities can be traced to racial and income-related differences in housing quality, environmental conditions, nutrition, and other factors designed to control or eliminate lead exposure (CDC, 2012a,b).

Vulnerability of children to lead

Children's greater risk of exposure to lead, as with many other toxic chemicals, reflects their typical hand-to-mouth behavior as well as their tendency to eat more food, drink more water, and breathe more air per unit of body weight than adults (American Academy of Pediatrics Council on Environmental Health, 2003). The major route of children's exposure to lead in the United States is through paint, via ingestion of lead-contaminated dust that forms inside homes from the flaking and chipping of older lead-based paint. Children between the ages of 1 and 6 years are at highest risk of lead exposure because normal exploratory behavior facilitates the transfer of lead dust from the environment into children's bodies. Furthermore, unlike many other neurotoxins, lead can accumulate over time in a pregnant woman's bones, and then readily pass through the immature blood-brain barrier to the developing fetal brain. Having reached the brain, lead can interfere with growth and development, and this vulnerability extends from prenatal life into infancy and early childhood.

Lead exposure affects the developing brain through pharmacological and morphological mechanisms and is highly age and dose dependent (Silbergeld, 1992; Goyer, 1996). Animal studies have shown, at the pharmacologic level, that prenatal exposure to lead affects neurotransmitter receptor density and affinity; the type of neurotransmitter receptor change varies depending on the timing of exposure (Rossouw, Offermeier, & van Rooyen,

1987). At the morphological level, prenatal lead exposure delays structural development of the fetal cortex (Bull, McCauley, Taylor, & Croften, 1983), and affects differentiation and synaptogenesis (Regan, 1989). Although it is more difficult to study potentially causal mechanisms in human studies, Mendelian randomization as mentioned earlier lends further weight to the causal evidence linking lead exposure to neurobehavioral outcomes in children (Nigg, Elmore, Natarajan, Friderici, & Nikolas, in press).

Brain and behavioral consequences of lead exposure

The earliest studies of the adverse consequences of lead exposure focused on IQ (e.g., Needleman, Gunnoe, & Leviton, 1979), and showed that clinically asymptomatic children with elevated body lead burdens had a 4–5-point deficit in mean verbal IQ scores compared with children from the same communities with lower lead burdens. More recent studies have shown that the average IQ scores of children with levels of only 5–10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ are about 5 points lower than the IQ scores of children with levels $<5 \mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ (Canfield et al., 2003), and these effect sizes persist into the school years (Jusko et al., 2008). The cognitive deficits associated with lead exposure are considered to be irreversible (Mazumdar et al., 2011; Dietrich, Ris, Succop, Berger, & Bornschein, 2001; Bellinger, Stiles, & Needleman, 1992; Wright, Dietrich, & Ris, 2008); and it is generally agreed that no safe level of exposure to lead exists (Grandjean, 2010; Lanphear et al., 2005; Budtz-Jorgensen, Bellinger, Lanphear, & Grandjean, 2013).

Early lead exposure has also been linked to conduct disorder, juvenile delinquency, drug use, and incarceration (National Research Council, 1993a,b; Sciarillo, Alexander, & Farrell, 1992; Needleman, McFarland, Ness, Fienberg, & Tobin, 2002; Dietrich et al., 2001; Braun, Kahn, Froehlich, Auinger, & Lanphear, 2006; Fergusson, Boden, & Horwood, 2008; Nigg et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008; Ha et al., 2009). Although externalizing behavior problems have been most frequently reported in relation to lead exposure, some studies have also found teacher-reported withdrawn behavior (e.g., Chiodo, Jacobson, & Jacobson, 2004). Progress in our understanding of internalizing disorders in young children in general has lagged behind advances in the other areas of psychopathology in this age group, at least in part because such problems are less disruptive or visible to parents and teachers (Tandon, Cardeli, & Luby, 2009). Recognizing and describing mood and anxiety disorders in children as a possible consequence of a toxic chemical exposure such as lead may benefit from the more flexible dimensional approach, as opposed to the more rigid diagnostic classifications.

Other deficits associated with prenatal exposure to lead include fine-motor skill problems as measured by slow finger tapping and reaction time, poor eye-hand coordination, and poor visuo-motor coordination skills (Chiodo et al., 2004; Needleman, Schell, Bellinger, Leviton, & Allred, 1990), with some deficits persisting over time into adulthood, even at low levels of exposure (Mason, Harp, & Han, 2014). Public health policy concerning lead has evolved steadily over the years in response to increasing scientific evidence that adverse effects are seen at very low levels of exposure.

Learning problems, ASD and ADHD associated with lead. Even very low levels of exposure to lead are associated with poorer school performance, marked by shortening of attention span, reading problems, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, and school failure (Needleman et al., 1979; Bellinger et al., 1992, Dec). Analysis of data from more than 4800 children 6–16 years of age, who participated in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey in the United States, found an inverse relationship between blood lead levels and math and reading scores at concentrations lower than 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dl}$. In fact, the dose–response relationship between blood lead levels and loss of IQ was stronger at levels lower than 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dl}$ than at higher levels (Lanphear et al., 2000). An international pooled analysis of data from multiple cohorts demonstrated that there are adverse effects below 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dl}$ and that the effects are steepest at the lowest levels of exposure (Lanphear et al., 2005). This nonmonotonic dose–effect relationship has been confirmed by numerous investigators (Emory, 1999, 2003; Bellinger & Needleman, 2003; Wasserman, Factor-Litvak, Liu, Todd, & Kline, 2003; Chiodo et al., 2004; Després et al., 2005; Fraser, Muckle, & Després, 2006; Hu et al., 2006; Kordas, Canfield, & Lopez, 2006; Schnaas, Rothenberg, & Flores, 2006; Tellez-Rojo, Bellinger, & Arroyo-Quiroz, 2006; Chiodo et al., 2007; Surkan et al., 2007).

Early studies showed an association between dentine lead, whole-tooth lead, hair lead, and symptoms of inattention (e.g., Bellinger, Leviton, Allred, & Rabinowitz, 1994; Needleman et al., 1979). Subsequent studies reported associations between lead and attention-deficit disorder and impulsivity (e.g., Brockel & Cory-Slechta, 1998; Wasserman, Staghezza-Jaramillo, Shrout, Popovac, & Graziano, 1998), but virtually all of this work showed effects at lead levels much higher than current US population averages. More recently, Nigg et al. (2008) were the first to conduct a low-lead study in children formally diagnosed with ADHD by standardized methods, using sensitive exposure detection measures with limits 3–8-fold lower than previously used methods. Lead effects on ADHD are biologically plausible because lead disrupts midbrain dopamine and other neurotransmission circuitry (Cory-Slechta et al.,

2008) – systems that are also implicated in ADHD (Nigg, 2006). Furthermore, since ADHD, like ASD, carries well-established genetic influences on susceptibility (Waldman & Gizer, 2006), it will be important to determine how lead may interact with that susceptibility (Purcell, 2002).

The role of lead in ASD has received less attention. In a recent study of autistic children (Adams et al., 2013), the autism group had significantly higher levels of several metals including lead in their red blood cells (41%), and significantly higher urinary levels of lead (74%), thallium (77%), tin (115%), and tungsten (44%). Further, levels of several toxic metals were significantly associated with variations in the severity of autism for all three of the autism severity scales investigated.

Brain anomalies associated with lead. Magnetic resonance imaging has been used to better understand the mechanisms underlying the effects of lead exposure on neurological function. Studies of exposed children have found decreased brain volume, as well as altered myelination and axonal integrity (Cecil et al., 2008, 2011; Brubaker et al., 2009). The Cincinnati Lead Study (CLS) was the first longitudinal epidemiologic study to use MRI in a population well characterized for lead exposure (Bornschein et al., 1985), demonstrating that prenatal or early childhood exposure was associated with a variety of adverse effects on adult brain structure, organization, and function. Young adults demonstrate reductions in grey matter volume associated with increased prenatal and/or early childhood blood lead, and the magnitude of loss increases with age (Cecil et al., 2008; Brubaker, Dietrich, Lanphear, & Cecil, 2010). The associations were most striking in frontal regions, particularly the anterior cingulate and ventrolateral prefrontal cortices, and were stronger for males than females. CLS Investigators examined white matter connectivity using DTI, demonstrating associated reductions in fractional anisotropy (FA) (Brubaker et al., 2009), and these changes were attributed to significant changes in radial diffusivity. Since radial diffusivity primarily reflects alterations in the myelin sheath thickness and organizational characteristics, these findings suggest that lead exposure disrupted the underlying neuronal network.

These results, among others, showing significant associations between childhood lead exposure and neuronal dysfunction in discrete anatomic regions and alterations in white matter connectivity, are consistent with behavioral studies suggesting cognitive, motor, and behavioral effects of early childhood lead exposure. Lead thus appears to affect both brain volume, connectivity, and metabolic content, and these disturbances very likely mediate observed deficits in cognition/learning, motor and behavioral function.

The case of pesticides

Trends in pesticide exposure

The World Health Organization estimates that approximately 3 million cases of pesticide poisoning occur annually, with approximately 220,000 fatalities (WHO, 2010). While much of this disease burden is occupationally related, there is also substantial exposure of children who often accompany parents to workplaces, live in farm regions, come in contact with postapplication residue, and participate themselves in agricultural production, including pesticide application (Karr & Rauh, 2014). Daily, chronic low-level exposures to pesticides are more common today among children than acute pesticide poisonings. Children encounter pesticides in air, food, dust, and soil and on surfaces from lawn or garden applications, household insecticide use, pet applications, and agricultural product residues. Pesticides are purposefully applied directly to children's skin to treat lice or scabies, most often from the classes of pesticides known as pyrethroids, organochlorines, or organophosphates. For most children, the majority of exposure comes from two sources: pesticide residues in the food supply and home pesticide use. Broadcast applications of pesticides in indoor environments can leave residues in air, carpet, toys, and house dust that persist for months and herbicides applied on the lawn or garden can be tracked into the home by people and pets. For subgroups of children, such as farm families and children of migrant workers, proximity to agricultural production activities results in an especially heavy burden of exposure because regular pesticide applications, with periodic airborne drift, take place near their homes, schools, and play areas (Marks, Harley, & Bradman, 2010). As is the case for lead, the burden of exposure in the United States is now greatest for lower income minority children, thus providing another example of environmental *injustice*.

Organophosphate pesticides. The class of pesticides known as organophosphate insecticides (OPs) poses a particularly serious health hazard because of their inherent acute toxicity and widespread use in residential pest control and food production. First registered in 1965 for agricultural and pest control purposes, chlorpyrifos (CPF) is a broad-spectrum, chlorinated OP. Prior to regulatory action by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in 2000–2001, CPF applications were particularly heavy in urban areas, where the exposed populations included pregnant women (Whyatt et al., 2002, 2003; Surgen, Congdon, Primi, Lamster, & Louis-Jacques, 2002; Berkowitz et al., 2003). In a sample of pregnant women in New York City, detectable levels of CPF were found in 99.7% of personal air samples, 100% of indoor air samples, and 64–70% of blood samples collected from umbilical cord plasma at delivery (Whyatt et al., 2002,

2005). In 2001, the US EPA banned indoor residential use of CPF (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000; 2002), but continues to permit agricultural and commercial uses. Although the residential ban was effective in bringing down mean CPF blood levels among pregnant women in NYC (Whyatt et al., 2004), pesticide metabolites continue to be detected in the urine of pregnant women and children living in farming communities across the United States from North Carolina to California (Bradman et al., 2005; Accury et al., 2007). Outside the United States, pesticide use is common, partly due to US product exportation (Romyen, Hawker, & Karnchanasest, 2007).

Currently, diet is the most widespread source of children's OP pesticide exposure (Aprea, Strambi, Novelli, Lunghini, & Bozzi, 2000; Lu et al., 2006). In CDC's most recent Fourth National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals, urinary concentrations of common OP insecticide metabolites were higher in the youngest age group sampled (age 6–11 years) than in older children and adults (Rohlman et al., 2001), largely because of the high dietary consumption of apples, grapes, and carrots – all foods with OP pesticide residues. A recent study documented CPF levels by urinary metabolites in children, and found that an organic dietary intervention immediately reduced metabolites to nondetectable levels and remained nondetectable until conventional diets were reintroduced (Lu et al., 2006).

Vulnerability of children to pesticides

Organophosphate insecticides have been detected in amniotic fluid and are known to cross the placenta, posing a threat to the unborn child during a period of rapid brain development (Bradman et al., 2003). While OPs affect neurotransmission in adults, they act as neurodevelopmental disruptors in the fetal and neonatal brain. Much of the early evidence for neurotoxicity comes from animal studies; specifically, OPs inhibit AChE and overstimulate cholinergic targets in the developing brain, thereby disrupting normal patterns of neural cell proliferation and differentiation, axonogenesis, and synaptogenesis (Bigbee & Sharma, 2004). Noncholinergic mechanisms are also implicated in OP neurodevelopmental toxicity, involving disruption of neural cell development and neurotransmitter systems (Slotkin, 2004; Aldridge, Levin, Seidler, & Slotkin, 2005), including the formation and activity of synapses in different brain regions (Barone, Das, Lassiter, & White, 2000; Gupta, 2004; Qiao, Seidler, Tate, Cousins, & Slotkin, 2003). These effects are seen at exposure levels well below the threshold for systemic toxicity caused by cholinesterase inhibition in the brain (Dam, Seidler, & Slotkin, 2003; Slotkin & Seidler, 2005). Moreover, evidence for extensive cellular toxicity in rodent models suggests that CPF

produces long-term effects on brain structure and function that are likely irreversible (Slotkin, 2004).

As a body of work, these experimental findings have important implications for understanding the developmental neurotoxicity of CPF in children (Levin et al., 2002). First, the critical exposure period in which neuro-behavioral anomalies can be elicited likely extends through early postnatal brain development, suggesting multiple mechanisms by which CPF may alter the maturation of neural systems. Unlike most other cells in the body, neurons proliferate only during the first half of gestation. AChE-related and nonrelated effects of CPF disrupt neuronal proliferation and differentiation, axonal elaboration, synaptogenesis, and neurotransmitter specification, thereby likely reducing neuronal cell number and disturbances in axonal connectivity in specific brain regions and producing related abnormalities in behavior and cognition. Second, non-cholinergic mechanisms dominate the low-dose neurotoxic effects of CPF, and cholinesterase inhibition dominates its toxic effects at high doses. Third, traditional methods of risk assessment based on classic monotonic dose-response relationships may not be appropriate for CPF because of its multiple mechanisms of action. Fourth, the timing of exposure during development likely determines the specificity of the effects of CPF toxicity at the cellular, neural systems, and neurobehavioral levels.

Additional evidence for the genetic vulnerability of certain children to pesticide exposure, lending weight to the evidence for links between OP pesticides and developmental psychopathology, comes from several studies reporting greater adverse effects of prenatal organophosphate pesticide exposure on head circumference (Berkowitz et al., 2003), reflexes (Engel et al., 2007) and early cognitive development among children of mothers with low paraoxonase 1 (PON1) (Engel et al., 2011). PON1 is a key enzyme in the metabolism of organophosphate pesticides (Costa et al., 1999), and a biomarker of susceptibility to the toxic effects of organophosphate pesticides, both in animals (Costa et al., 2003), and in humans (Engel et al., 2007, 2011; Eskenazi et al., 2010; Lee, London, Paulauskis, Myers, & Christiani, 2003; Nielsen et al., 2010). Future Mendelian randomization studies can help us to better understand possible causal links between pesticides (or any neurotoxicant) and neurodevelopmental outcomes, but such studies are currently limited in the case of pesticides by the lack of information on genetic metabolism of these compounds.

Brain and behavioral consequences of pesticide exposure

Developmental problems in children exposed to pesticides were first reported more than 30 years ago among 4–5-year-olds living in a Mexican agricultural community exposed to high OP and

organochlorine pesticides, as compared to children from a nearby community with low exposure (Guillette, Meza, Aquilar, Soto, & Garcia, 1998). Exposed children showed disturbances in stamina, hand-eye coordination, drawing ability, and short-term recall, but the study did not include any validation of exposure using biomarkers. More recent birth cohort studies in both urban and agricultural settings, using biomarkers of exposure, have found significant associations between prenatal maternal OP exposure and deviant neonatal reflexes (Young et al., 2005), overall neonatal neurological performance (Zhang, Han, & Liang, 2014), mental/motor deficits and pervasive developmental disorder at 2–3 years (Engel et al., 2007; Rauh et al., 2006), and attention problems at 3 ½ to 5 years of age (Marks et al., 2010). In these same cohorts, cognitive deficits have persisted to at least 7 years of age (Rauh et al., 2011; Engel et al., 2011; Bouchard et al., 2011). Using data from the Nation Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, Bouchard et al. (Bouchard, Bellinger, Wright, & Weisskopf, 2010) reported a 35% increase in the odds of developing ADHD with each 10-fold increase in urinary concentration of residue from OP exposure in children age 8–15 years, across the full range of exposures. This is an area where the RDoC approach to creating profiles of symptoms might complement and further inform the relationship between early exposure and ADHD-type behaviors, and could be even more informative than the diagnosis of ADHD ‘caseness’. Such early attention problems can be clinically persistent, putting children at risk for later psychiatric, neuropsychological, and academic difficulties. A recent study reports that children with prenatal exposure to chlorpyrifos are also likely to manifest moderate tremor in middle childhood, a disturbance that may underlie the frequently reported symptom of poor hand writing among children with ADHD-type problems (Rauh et al., 2015).

Although the evidence that OP pesticides are associated with adverse developmental outcomes is growing, some studies have reported weak or no associations between OP exposure and behavioral outcomes (Eskenazi et al., 2008). In some cases, prenatal but not postnatal exposures are associated with poor behavioral outcomes; further, increased exposure has been associated with enhanced performance, that is, increased exposure to DAP was associated with higher scores on the Bayley Scales (Eskenazi et al., 2007). More prospective studies with larger samples of children and a more sophisticated approach to understanding the timing of exposure are needed to disentangle these seemingly contradictory results.

The issue of environment injustice is complicated in the case of pesticides, since more affluent groups may have greater access to fresh fruits and vegetables, a potential source of dietary pesticide residue. However, in its latest report, the Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee, a panel at the US government’s

Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (Report of the Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee on the Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2010), found that high levels of fruit and vegetable consumption are strongly or moderately associated with decreased risks of chronic diseases such as heart disease, high blood pressure, type 2 diabetes, obesity, and cancer. The committee also found limited evidence that suggests that dietary patterns with high fruit and vegetable consumption may decrease the likelihood of congenital anomalies as well as neurological and psychological diseases. Therefore, to the extent that more affluent groups have greater access to fruits and vegetables, especially fresh produce, they have an advantage over less affluent groups. The argument here is that even eating conventionally produced fruits and vegetables (nonorganic) is always healthier than not eating any fruits and vegetables (Roberts & Karr, 2012). Further, organic foods do have lower pesticide levels than conventional diets (Smith-Spangler et al., 2012). Perhaps more importantly, the exposure of less affluent groups, such as migratory workers and farm families, to pesticides through geographical proximity and occupational exposure remains a significant risk.

Brain anomalies associated with OP exposure. Only one study to date has investigated associations between CPF exposure and brain morphology using MRI (Rauh et al., 2012). In a sample of 40 children, 5.9–11.2 years, selected from a community-based cohort, high CPF exposure was associated with enlargement of superior temporal, posterior middle temporal, and inferior postcentral gyri bilaterally, and enlarged superior frontal gyrus, gyrus rectus, cuneus, and precuneus along the mesial wall of the right hemisphere. Observed group differences reflected exposure-related changes in underlying white matter. Further, high-exposure children did not show expected sex differences in the right inferior parietal lobule and superior marginal gyrus, and displayed reversal of sex differences in the right mesial superior frontal gyrus, consistent with disruption by CPF of normal behavioral sexual dimorphisms reported in animal models. High-exposure children also showed frontal and parietal cortical thinning, and an inverse dose-response relationship between CPF and cortical thickness. This report suggests that prenatal exposure to CPF, at standard usage levels, is associated with structural changes in the developing human brain, in regions that subserve working memory, attention, and executive function.

Learning problems, ASD, and ADHD associated with OP pesticides. There is some evidence that specific learning problems are seen in OP-exposed children, in the absence of overall IQ deficits. These findings include problems with verbal learning and

memory; specifically, inability to sustain attention on learning tasks (Kofman et al., 2006). Other studies, although not specifically designed to assess OP impact on LDs, have included neurocognitive tasks that are essential for the learning process. In a sample of Hispanic children living in an agricultural community (Lizardi, O'Rourke, & Morris, 2008), OP levels have been associated with speed of attention, sequencing, mental flexibility, visual search, concept formation, and conceptual flexibility. These results are consistent with other reports, from both human and experimental studies, documenting OP exposure effects on verbal abstraction, attention, and memory (Qiao et al., 2003; Slotkin et al., 2006a; Slotkin, Tate, Ryde, Levin, & Seidler, 2006b). Inclusion of LD assessment tools and more refined measures of attention and ADHD-type problems in future studies will add greatly to our understanding of how these exposures may affect educational success and longer term social adjustment.

Evidence for adverse OP effects on reciprocal social behavior, as measured by degree of social responsiveness, has been reported in a multiethnic urban population at 7–9 years of age, particularly among males (Furlong, Engel, Barr, & Wolff, 2014). Another recent study reported that proximity to OPs at some point during gestation, specifically second trimester chlorpyrifos applications, was associated with a 60% increased risk for autism spectrum disorders (Shelton, Geraghty, & Trancredi, 2014). Much of this evidence has been well-reviewed elsewhere (Polanska, Jurewicz, & Hanke, 2013; Munoz-Quezada, Lucero, & Barr, 2013; Gonzalez-Alzaga, Lacasana, & Aguilar-Garduno, 2013). Most research implicating pesticides in the etiology of autism comes from recent epidemiological studies in US agricultural areas, specifically in families who live or work in proximity to areas treated with pesticides. Significant associations have been reported between prenatal exposure OP pesticides and autism diagnosis (Roberts et al., 2007) and maternally reported pervasive developmental disorder (Eskenazi et al., 2007). Although pesticides are biologically plausible contributors to autism, more research is needed to determine critical windows of exposure, neurotoxicity in the context of genetic susceptibilities, and the role of co-exposures, including chemical additives to pesticide compounds (Shelton, Irva Hertz-Picciotto, & Pessah, 2012). Causes for the recent rise in autism diagnoses throughout the United States remain largely unknown. In California, a 600% increased incidence in autism was observed among children up to 5 years of age for births from 1990 to 2001, yet only one-third of the rise could be explained by identified factors such as changing diagnostic criteria and a younger age at diagnosis (Hertz-Picciotto & Delwiche, 2009).

With respect to ADHD, Bouchard et al. (2010) reported a 35% increase in the odds of developing ADHD with each 10-fold increase in urinary concen-

tration of residue from OP exposure in children age 8–15 years, across the full range of exposures. This is an area where the RDoC approach to creating profiles of symptoms might complement and further inform the relationship between early exposure and ADHD-type behaviors, and could be even more informative than the diagnosis of ADHD ‘caseness’. Such early attention problems can be clinically persistent, putting children at risk for later psychiatric, neuropsychological, and academic difficulties. A recent study reports that children with prenatal exposure to chlorpyrifos are also likely to manifest moderate tremor in middle childhood, a disturbance that may underlie the frequently reported symptom of poor hand writing among children with ADHD-type problems (Rauh et al., 2015). Whether or not the ADHD-type deficits observed in children exposed to pesticides are identical (anatomically or functionally) with ADHD as identified by DSM-5 in the general population is unknown; nor is there any data concerning the persistence of such symptoms into middle childhood among children who were exposed prenatally or in early childhood. This is an important gap in the literature, with implications for treatment, as well as for regulatory standards.

Conclusions

In this review we first examined children’s unique vulnerability to neurotoxic substances. We then described how the distribution, identification, and definition of toxic environmental exposures, as well as mental health outcomes, have changed over time and shifted the burden of risk to different populations. As a consequence, we have also seen heightened risk associated with different patterns of co-exposure to both social and chemical toxicants. Third, we considered outcome measures that are likely to be sensitive to the subtle effects of toxic exposures, and argue that current trends in psychiatric research, calling for the use of dimensional rather than categorical models to describe health outcomes, are particularly well-suited to neuro-epidemiologic investigations aimed at understanding the etiology of children’s neurodevelopmental disorders. Fourth, we presented our view that future neurotoxicology research will be further informed by the inclusion of additional mental health-related outcomes such as (a) measures of brain function, and (b) assessment of child-learning capacity, ADHD, and ASD. Finally, we discussed the history and impact of two important environmental exposures (lead and pesticides) on child mental health, reframing the discussion to consider shifts in population-based exposures and new approaches to outcome assessment.

Relevant to the role of environmental exposures in the etiology of neurodevelopmental disorders and mental health problems, but beyond the scope of this paper, are the many mechanistic and

methodological challenges related to the interactive and potentially cumulative effects of toxic chemicals and social conditions. Approaches to measuring the combined effects of multiple exposures include toxicogenetic analysis (e.g., Mori et al., 2003), phased strategies (e.g., Menzie, MacDonell & Mumtaz, 2007), and complex mixtures analysis (e.g., Hastie et al., 2009). The difficulty arises when each exposure is low, but the cumulative effect of multiple exposures may be above the safe regulatory dose, as illustrated by a recent study of dietary sources of endocrine disrupting chemicals (Schechter et al., 2013). The task of identifying the etiologically relevant compounds and/or mixtures associated with adverse health outcomes challenges standard regression-based techniques, due to the potentially strong correlation structure of the exposures as well as the hypothesized correlation between individual exposures and outcomes. The ‘exposome’ is a comprehensive term introduced to describe the totality of environmental exposures, as distinct from the genome (Wild, 2012), but this concept has yet to be applied to the etiology of neurodevelopmental and mental health disorders.

We argue that the adverse mental health consequences of exposure to toxic substances likely depend upon the complex effects of multiple chemical and social exposures on the developing brain. Longitudinal research designs, with attention to the timing of exposures, are essential if we are to capture the cascading effects of early exposures across multiple biological systems and the full-range of neuropsychological endpoints. Neuroimaging at multiple time points, starting during the fetal period, will enable us to observe brain maturation under varying environmental conditions. Innovations in neuropsychological, physiological, and behavioral assessments are sorely needed at the very earliest ages, as well as throughout childhood, including a range of performance-based indicators. At all ages, a continuous or dimensional approach to measurement across domains is not only likely to be sensitive to subtle subclinical toxic effects but also permits the testing of complex functional relationships between brain and behavioral data. In addition, such an approach enables us to describe exposure-related profiles or phenotypes over time that may inform heterogeneity in children with specific mental health disorders (e.g., Fair et al., 2012).

Despite the public health policy response to scientific evidence that a number of environmental pollutants are toxic to the developing brain, including the examples of lead and pesticides, the problem of disproportionate exposure persists. Paradoxically, the overrepresentation of disadvantaged children in the most highly exposed groups often increases in response to regulatory activity, as more advantaged sectors of the population are protected. And of

course, disproportionate exposures lead to social inequities in the mental health-related consequences of exposures – an example of environmental injustice.

Questions about how multiple exposures, the timing of exposures, and interactions of exposures with other social risk factors contribute to poor outcomes for children are scientifically important, but such questions become even more pressing when viewed through the lens of environmental justice. Any reduction in the burden of child mental health disorders (i.e., population attributable risk) that would be observed if we were to eliminate or reduce toxic exposures would be naturally limited, since most mental health problems are multiply determined by genetic and other risk factors. However, the important point is that this fraction of the excess risk for child mental health problems is *entirely preventable*. Research that seeks to identify links between toxic exposures and mental health

outcomes is thus of enormous public health and societal value.

Acknowledgements

This invited review was supported by a grant from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (R01 ES08977). The authors were also supported by NIEHS grant P01 ES09600 and EPA RD-83214101 during the preparation of this report. The authors have no competing or potential conflicts of interest.

Correspondence

Virginia A. Rauh, Heilbrunn Department of Population and Family Health, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, 60 Haven Avenue, B-2, Room 213, New York, NY 10032, USA; Email: var1@columbia.edu

Key points

- Environmental factors play a role in the origins of some child mental health disorders, as well as a range of subclinical neurodevelopmental deficits.
- The developing brain is particularly vulnerable to toxic chemical exposures, as exemplified by lead and pesticides, and this sensitivity is likely greatest in utero and throughout early childhood.
- Even at very low levels of exposure, toxic chemicals can have meaningful adverse effects on brain development and behavioral function, and these effects are often extremely costly to individuals and society.
- The inequitable distribution of environmental exposures in the population, resulting in a greater toxic burden among socially disadvantaged groups, is termed 'environmental injustice'.
- We suggest broadening outcomes to include dimensional measures of autism spectrum disorders, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, and child learning capacity, as well as direct assessment of brain function via neuroimaging.

References

- Accury, T.A., Grzywacz, J.G., Barr, D.B., Tapia, J., Chen, H., & Quandt, S.A. (2007). Pesticide urinary metabolite levels of children in eastern North Carolina farmworker households. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 115, 1254–1260.
- Adams, J.B., Audhya, T., McDonough-Means, S., Rubin, R.A., Quig, D., Geis, E., ... & Lee, W. (2013). Toxicological status of children with autism vs. neurotypical children and the association with autism severity. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 151, 171–180.
- Aldridge, J.E., Levin, E.D., Seidler, F.J., & Slotkin, T.A. (2005). Developmental exposure of rats to chlorpyrifos leads to behavioral alterations in adulthood, involving serotonergic mechanisms and resembling animal models of depression. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 113, 527–531.
- American Academy of Pediatrics Council on Environmental Health (2011). Lead. In R.A. Etzel & S.J. Balk (Eds.), *Pediatric environmental health* (3rd edn). Elk Grove Village, IL: American Academy of Pediatrics.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-5*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association.
- Aprea, C., Strambi, M., Novelli, M.T., Lunghini, L., & Bozzi, N. (2000). Biologic monitoring of exposure to organophosphate pesticides in 195 Italian children. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 108, 521–525.
- Arcury, T.A., Grzywacz, J.G., Barr, D.H., Tapia, J., Chen, H., & Quandt, S.A. (2007). Pesticide urinary metabolite levels of children in eastern North Carolina farmworker households. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 115, 1254–1260.
- Bansal, R., Staib, L.H., Xu, D., Zhu, H., & Peterson, B.S. (2007). Statistical analyses of brain surfaces using Gaussian random fields on 2-D manifolds. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 26, 46–57.
- Barone, S., Das, K.P., Lassiter, T.L., & White, L.D. (2000). Vulnerable processes of nervous system development: A review of markers and methods. *Neurotoxicology*, 21, 15–36.
- Bellinger, D.C. (2009). Interpreting epidemiologic studies of developmental neurotoxicity: Conceptual and analytic issues. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 31, 267–274.
- Bellinger, D.C. (2012). A strategy for comparing the contributions of environmental chemicals and other risk factors to neurodevelopment of children. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 120, 501–507.
- Bellinger, D., Leviton, A., Allred, E., & Rabinowitz, M. (1994). Pre- and postnatal lead exposure and behavior problems in school-aged children. *Environmental Research*, 66, 12–30.

- Bellinger, D.C., & Needleman, H.L. (2003). Intellectual impairment and blood lead levels. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 349, 500–502.
- Bellinger, D.C., Stiles, K.M., & Needleman, H.L. (1992). Low-level lead exposure, intelligence and academic achievement: A long-term follow-up study. *Pediatrics*, 90, 855–861.
- Berkowitz, G.S., Obel, J., Deych, E., Lapinski, R., Godbold, J., Liu, Z., ... & Wolff, M.S. (2003). Exposure to indoor pesticides during pregnancy in a multiethnic, urban cohort. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 111, 79–84.
- Bigbee, J.W., & Sharma, K.U. (2004). The adhesive role of acetylcholinesterase (AChE): Detection of AChE binding proteins in rat spinal cord. *Neurochemical Research*, 29, 2043–2050.
- Birnbaum, L.S., Zenick, H., & Brance, C.M. (2009). Environmental justice: A continuing commitment to an evolving concept. *American Journal of Public Health*, 99 (Suppl. 3), S487–S489.
- Bloom, B., Cohenm, R.A., & Freeman, G. (2010). Summary health statistics for U.S. children: National Health Interview Survey, 2009. *Vital Health Statistics*, 10, 1–82.
- Bornschein, R.L., Hammond, P.B., Dietrich, K.N., Succop, P., Krafft, K., Clark, S., ... & Que, Hee S. (1985). The Cincinnati prospective study of low-level lead exposure and its effects on child development: Protocol and status report. *Environmental Research*, 38, 4–18.
- Bouchard, M.F., Bellinger, D.C., Wright, R.O., & Weisskopf, M.G. (2010). Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and urinary metabolites of organophosphate pesticides. *Pediatrics*, 125, e1270–e1277.
- Bouchard, M.F., Chevrier, J., Harley, K.G., Kogut, K., Vedar, M., Calderon, N., ... & Eskenazi, B. (2011). Prenatal exposure to organophosphate pesticides and IQ in 7-year old children. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 119, 1189–1195.
- Boucher, O., Jacobson, S.W., Plusquellec, P., Dewailly, E., Ayotte, P., Forget-Dubois, N., ... & Muckle, G. (2012). Prenatal methylmercury, postnatal lead exposure, and evidence of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder among Inuit children in Arctic Quebec. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 120, 1456–1461.
- Boyle, C.A., & Cordero, J.F. (2005). Birth defects and disabilities: A public health issue for the 21st century. *American Journal of Public Health*, 95, 1884–1886.
- Bradman, A., Barr, D.B., Henn, B.G.C., Drumheller, T., Curry, C., & Eskenazi, B. (2003). Measurement of pesticides and other toxicants in amniotic fluid as a potential biomarker of pre-natal exposure: A validation study. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 111, 1779–1782.
- Bradman, A., Eskenazi, B., Barr, D.B., Bravo, R., Castorina, R., Chevrier, J., ... & McKone, T.E. (2005). Organophosphate urinary metabolite levels during pregnancy and after delivery in women living in an agricultural community. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 113, 1802–1807.
- Braun, J.M., Kahn, R.S., Froehlich, T., Auinger, P., & Lanphear, B.P. (2006). Exposures to environmental toxicants and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in U.S. children. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 114, 1904–1909.
- Brockel, B.J., & Cory-Slechta, D.A. (1998). Lead, attention, and impulsive behavior: Changes in a fixed-ratio waiting-for-reward paradigm. *Pharmacology, Biochemistry and Behavior*, 60, 545–552.
- Brubaker, C.J., Dietrich, K.N., Lanphear, B.P., & Cecil, K.M. (2010). The influence of age of lead exposure on adult gray matter volume. *Neurotoxicology*, 31, 259–266.
- Brubaker, C.J., Schmithorst, V.J., Haynes, E.N., Dietrich, K.N., Egelhoff, J.C., Lindquist, D.M., ... & Cecil, K.M. (2009). Altered myelination and axonal integrity in adults with childhood lead exposure: A diffusion tensor imaging study. *Neurotoxicology*, 30, 867–875.
- Brulle, R.J., & Pellow, D.N. (2006). Environmental justice: Human health and environmental inequalities. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 27, 103–124.
- Budtz-Jorgensen, E., Bellinger, D., Lanphear, B., & Grandjean, P. (2013). An international pooled analysis for obtaining a benchmark dose for environmental lead exposure in children. *Risk Analysis*, 33, 450–461.
- Bull, R.J., McCauley, P.T., Taylor, D.H., & Croften, K.M. (1983). The effects of lead on the developing central nervous system of the rat. *Neurotoxicology*, 4, 1–17.
- Canfield, R.L., Henderson, C.R., Cory-Slechta, D.A., Cox, C., Jusko, T.A., & Lanphear, B.P. (2003). Intellectual impairment in children with blood lead concentrations below 10 ug/dL. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 348, 1517–1526.
- Casey, B.J., Tottenham, N., Liston, C., & Durston, S. (2005). Imaging the developing brain: What have we learned about cognitive development? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9, 104–110.
- Cecil, K.M., Brubaker, C.J., Adler, C.M., Dietrich, K.N., Altaye, M., Egelhoff, J.C., ... & Lanphear, B.P. (2008). Decreased brain volume in adults with childhood lead exposure. *PLoS Medicine*, 5, e112.
- Cecil, K.M., Dietrich, K.N., Altaye, M., Egelhoff, J.C., Lindquist, D.M., Brubaker, C.J., & Lanphear, B.P. (2011). Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy in adults with childhood lead exposure. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 119, 403–408.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2000). Blood lead levels in young children—United States and selected states, 1996–1999. *MMWR*, 49, 1133–1137.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2012a) *Advisory Committee on Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention. Low level lead exposure harms children: A renewed call for primary prevention*. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2012b). Prevalence of autism spectrum disorders—Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, 14 sites, United States, Surveillance Year 2008. *MMWR Centers for Disease Control and Prevention*, 61, 1–19.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2013a). Blood lead levels in children aged 1–5 years — United States, 1999–2010. *MMWR*, 62, 245–248.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2013b). Mental Health Surveillance among Children —United States, 2005–2011. *MMWR*, 62(Suppl.), 1–35.
- Chiodo, L.M., Jacobson, S.W., & Jacobson, J.L. (2004). Neurodevelopmental effects of postnatal lead exposure at very low levels. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 26, 359–371.
- Chiodo, L.M., Covington, C., Sokol, R.J., Hannigan, J.H., Jannise, J., Ager, J., ... & Delaney-Black, V. (2007). Blood lead levels and specific attention effects in young children. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 29, 538–546.
- Cho, K., Frijters, J.C., Zhang, H., Miller, L.L., & Gruen, J.R. (2013). Prenatal exposure to nicotine and impaired reading performance. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 162, 713–718 e712.
- Clougherty, J.E., Levy, J.I., Kubzansky, L.D., Ryan, P.B., Suglia, S.F., Canner, M.J., & Wright, R.J. (2007). Synergistic effects of traffic-related air pollution and exposure to violence on urban asthma etiology. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 115, 1140–1146.
- Cory-Slechta, D.A., Virgolini, M.B., Rossi-George, A., Thiruchelvam, M., Lisek, R., & Weston, D. (2008). Lifetime consequences of combined maternal lead and stress. *Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology*, 102, 218–227.
- Costa, L.G., Li, W.F., Richter, R.J., Shih, D.M., Lusia, A., & Furlong, C.E. (1999). The role of paraoxonase (PON1) in the detoxication of organophosphates and its human polymorphism. *Chemico-Biological Interactions*, 119–120, 429–438.

- Costa, L.G., Richter, R.J., Li, W.F., Cole, T., Guizzetti, M., & Furlong, C.E. (2003). Paraoxonase (PON 1) as a biomarker of susceptibility for organophosphate toxicity. *Biomarkers*, 8, 1–12.
- Curwin, B.D., Hein, M.J., Sanderson, W.T., Nishioka, M.G., Reynolds, S.J., Ward, E.M., & Alavanja, M.C. (2005). Pesticide contamination inside farm and nonfarm homes. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene*, 2, 357–367.
- Cuthbert, B.N. (2014). Research domain criteria: Toward future psychiatric nosology. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 7, 4–5.
- Cuthbert, B.N., & Insel, T.R. (2013). Toward the future of psychiatric diagnosis: The seven pillars of RDoC. *BMC Medicine*, 11, 127.
- Cuthbert, B.N., & Kozak, M.J. (2013). Constructing constructs for psychopathology: The NIMH Research Domain Criteria. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 122, 928–937.
- Dam, K., Seidler, F.J., & Slotkin, T.A. (2003). Transcriptional biomarkers distinguish between vulnerable periods for developmental neurotoxicity of chlorpyrifos: Implications for toxicogenomics. *Brain Research Bulletin*, 59, 261–265.
- Despres, C., Beuter, A., Richer, F., Poitras, K., Veilleux, A., Ayotte, P., ... & Muckle, G. (2005). Neuromotor functions in Inuit preschool children exposed to Pb, PCBs, and Hg. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 27, 245–257.
- Dietrich, K.N., Ris, M.D., Succop, P.A., Berger, O.G., & Bornschein, R.L. (2001). Early exposure to lead and juvenile delinquency. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 23, 511–518.
- Dourson, M., Chernly, G., & Schuenplein, R. (2002). Differential sensitivity of children and adults to chemical toxicity II. Risk and regulation. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 35, 448–467.
- Emory, E., Pattillo, R., Archibold, E., Bayorh, M., & Sung, F. (1999). Neurobehavioral effects of low-level lead exposure in human neonates. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 181, S2–S11.
- Emory, E., Ansari, Z., Pattillo, R., Archibold, E., & Chevalier, J. (2003). Maternal blood lead effects on infant intelligence at age 7 months. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 188, S26–S32.
- Engel, S.M., Berkowitz, G.S., Barr, D.B., Teitelbaum, S.L., Siskind, J., Meisel, S.J., ... & Wolff, M.S. (2007). Prenatal organophosphate metabolite and organochlorine levels and performance on the Brazelton Neonatal Behavioral Assessment Scale in a multiethnic pregnancy cohort. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 165, 1397–1404.
- Engel, S.M., Wetmur, J., Chen, J., Chenbo, Z., Barr, D.B., Canfield, R.L., & Wolff, M.S. (2011). Prenatal exposure to organophosphates, paraoxonase 1, and cognitive development in childhood. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 119, 1182–1188.
- Environmental Protection Agency. (2014). *Environmental justice program and civil rights*. Washington, DC: United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- Eskenazi, B., Marks, A.R., Bradman, A., Harley, K., Barr, D.B., Johnson, C., ... & Jewell, N.P. (2007). Organophosphate pesticide exposure and neurodevelopment in young Mexican-American children. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 115, 792–798.
- Eskenazi, B., Rosas, L.G., Marks, A.R., Bradman, A., Harley, K., Holland, N., ... & Barr, D.B. (2008). Pesticide toxicity and the developing brain. *Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology*, 102, 228–236.
- Eskenazi, B., Huen, K., Marks, A., Harley, K.G., Bradman, A., Barr, D.B., & Holland, N. (2010). PON1 and neurodevelopment in children from the CHAMACOS study exposed to organophosphate pesticides in utero. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 118, 1775–1781.
- Fair, D.A., Bathula, D., Nikolas, M.A., & Nigg, J.T. (2012). Distinct neuropsychological subgroups in typically developing youth inform heterogeneity in children with ADHD. *PNAS*, 109, 6769–6774.
- Faustman, E.M., Silbernagel, S.M., Fenske, R.A., Burbacher, T.M., & Ponce, R.A. (2000). Mechanisms underlying children's susceptibility to environmental toxicants. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 108(Suppl. 1), 13–21.
- Fergusson, D.M., Boden, J.M., & Horwood, L.J. (2008). Dentine lead levels in childhood and criminal behaviour in late adolescence and early adulthood. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 62, 1045–1050.
- Fraser, S., Muckle, G., & Despres, C. (2006). The relationship between lead exposure, motor function and behaviour in Inuit preschool children. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 28, 18–27.
- Froehlich, T.E., Anixt, J.S., Loe, I.M., Chirdkiatgumchai, V., Kuan, L., & Gilman, R.C. (2011). Update on environmental risk factors for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 13, 333–344.
- Furlong, M.A., Engel, S.M., Barr, D.B., & Wolff, M.S. (2014). Prenatal exposure to organophosphate pesticides and reciprocal social behavior in childhood. *Environment International*, 70, 125–131.
- Genius, S.J. (2008). Toxic causes of mental illness are overlooked. *Neurotoxicology*, 29, 1147–1149.
- Gonzalez-Alzaga, B., Lacasana, M., Aguilar-Garduno, C., Rodriguez-Barranco, M., Ballester, F., Rebagliato, M., & Hernandez, A.F. (2014). A systematic review of neurodevelopmental effects of prenatal and postnatal organophosphate pesticide exposure. *Toxicology Letters*, 230, 104–121.
- Gould, E. (2009). Childhood lead poisoning: Conservative estimates of the social and economic benefits of lead hazard control. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 117, 1162–1167.
- Goyer, R.A. (1996). Results of lead research: Prenatal exposure and neurological consequences. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 104, 1050–1054.
- Grandjean, P. (2010). Even low-dose lead exposure is hazardous. *Lancet*, 376, 855–856.
- Grandjean, P. (2013). *Only one chance. How environmental pollution impairs brain development – and how to protect the brains of the next generation*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Grandjean, P., & Landrigan, P.J. (2006). Developmental neurotoxicity of industrial chemicals. *Lancet*, 368, 2167–2178.
- Grandjean, P., & Landrigan, P.J. (2014). Neurobehavioral effects of developmental toxicity. *The Lancet Neurology*, 13, 330–338.
- Grandjean, P., Satoh, H., Murata, K., & Eto, K. (2010). Adverse effects of methylmercury: Environmental health research implications. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 118, 1137–1145.
- Guillette, E.A., Meza, M.M., Aguilar, M.G., Soto, A.D., & Garcia, I.E. (1998). An anthropological approach to the evaluation of preschool children exposed to pesticides in Mexico. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 106, 347–353.
- Gupta, R.C. (2004). Brain regional heterogeneity and toxicological mechanisms of organophosphates and carbamates. *Toxicology Mechanisms and Methods*, 14, 103–143.
- Ha, M., Kwon, H., Lim, M., Jee, Y., Hong, Y., Leem, J., ... & Jo, S. (2009). Low blood levels of lead and mercury and symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity in children: A report of the children's health and environment research (CHEER). *NeuroToxicology*, 30, 31–36.
- Halfon, N., Houtrow, A., Larson, K., & Newacheck, P.W. (2012). The changing landscape of disability in childhood. *Future of Children*, 22, 13.
- Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., & Friedman, J. (2009). *The elements of statistical learning: Data mining, inference and prediction*. New York: Springer.

- Hertz-Picciotto, I., & Delwiche, L. (2009). The rise in autism and the role of age at diagnosis. *Epidemiology*, 20, 84–90.
- Horton, M.K., Margolis, A.E., Tang, C., & Wright, R. (2014). Neuroimaging is a novel tool to understand the impact of environmental chemicals on neurodevelopment. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics*, 26, 230–236.
- Hu, H., Tellez-Rojo, M., Bellinger, D., Smith, D., Ettinger, A.S., Lamadrid-Figueroa, H., ... & Hernandez-Avila, M. (2006). Fetal lead exposure at each stage of pregnancy as a predictor of infant mental development. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 114, 1730–1735.
- Insel, T., Cuthbert, B., Garvey, M., Heinssen, R., Pine, D.S., Quinn, K., ... & Research domain criteria (RDoC). (2010). Toward a new classification framework for research on mental disorders. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 167, 748–751.
- Jusko, T.A., Henderson, C.R., Jr, Lanphear, B.P., Cory-Slechta, D.A., Parsons, P.J., & Canfield, R.L. (2008). Blood lead concentrations < 10 µg/dL and child intelligence at 6 years of age. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 116, 243–248.
- Karr, C.J., & Rauh, V.A. (2014). Pesticides. In P.J. Landrigan & R.A. Etzel (Eds.), *Textbook of children's environmental health* (pp. 296–302). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Khan, K., Wasserman, G.A., Liu, X., Ahmed, E., Parvez, F., Slavkovich, V., ... & Factor-Litvak, P. (2012). Manganese exposure from drinking water and children's academic achievement. *Neurotoxicology*, 33, 91–97.
- Kofman, O., Berger, A., Massarwa, A., Friedman, A., & Jaffar, A.A. (2006). Motor inhibition and learning impairments in school-aged children following exposure to organophosphate pesticides in infancy. *Pediatric Research*, 60, 88–92.
- Kordas, K., Canfield, R.L., Lopez, P., Rosado, J.L., Vargas, G.G., Cebrian, M.E., ... & Stoltzfus, R.J. (2006). Deficits in cognitive function and achievement in Mexican first-graders with low blood lead concentrations. *Environmental Research*, 100, 371–386.
- Landrigan, P.J. (2000). Pediatric lead poisoning: Is there a threshold? *Public Health Reports*, 115, 530–531.
- Landrigan, P.J., & Goldman, L.R. (2011). Children's vulnerability to toxic chemicals: A challenge and opportunity to strengthen health and environmental policy. *Health Affairs*, 30, 842–850.
- Landrigan, P.J., Kimmel, C.A., Correa, A., & Eskenazi, B. (2004). Children's Health and the Environment: Public health issues and challenges for risk assessment. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 112, 257–265.
- Landrigan, P.J., Rauh, V.A., & Galvez, M.P. (2010). Environmental justice and the health of children. *Mount Sinai Journal of Medicine*, 77, 178–187.
- Landrigan, P.J., Lambertini, L., & Birnbaum, L.S. (2012). A research strategy to discover the environmental causes of autism and neurodevelopmental disabilities. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 120, a258–a260.
- Lanphear, B.P., Dietrich, K., Auinger, P., & Cox, C. (2000). Cognitive deficits associated with blood lead levels <10 µg/dl in US children and adolescents. *Public Health Reports*, 115, 521–529.
- Lanphear, B.P., Hornung, R., Khoury, J., Yolton, K., Baghurst, P., Bellinger, D.C., ... & Roberts, R. (2005). Low-level environmental lead exposure and children's intellectual function: An international pooled analysis. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 113, 894–899.
- Lee, B.W., London, L., Paulauskis, J., Myers, J., & Christiani, D.C. (2003). Association between human paraoxonase gene polymorphism and chronic symptoms in pesticide-exposed workers. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 45, 118–122.
- Levin, E.D., Addy, N., Baruah, A., Elias, A., Christopher, N., Seidler, F.J., & Slotkin, T.A. (2002). Prenatal chlorpyrifos exposure in rats causes persistent behavioral alternations. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 24, 733–741.
- Lewis, S.J., Relton, C., Zammit, S., & Smith, G.D. (2013). Approaches for strengthening causal inference regarding prenatal risk factors for childhood behavioural and psychiatric disorders. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 54, 1095–1108.
- Lizardi, P.S., O'Rourke, M.K., & Morris, R.J. (2008). The effects of organophosphate pesticide exposure on Hispanic children's cognitive and behavioral functioning. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 33, 91–101.
- Lu, C., Toepel, K., Irish, R., Fenske, R.A., Barr, D.B., & Bravo, R. (2006). Organic diets significantly lower children's dietary exposure to organophosphate pesticides. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 114, 260–263.
- Lucchini, R.G., Guazzetti, S., Zoni, S., Donna, F., Peter, S., Zacco, A., ... & Smith, D.R. (2012). Tremor, olfactory and motor changes in Italian adolescents exposed to historical ferro-manganese emission. *Neurotoxicology*, 33, 687–696.
- Markowitz, G., & Rosner, D. (2003). Deceit and denial: The deadly politics of industrial pollution. University of California Press/Milbank Memorial Fund, 2002; paper 2003.
- Marks, A.R., Harley, K., Bradman, A., Kogut, K., Barr, D.B., Johnson, C., ... & Eskenazi, B. (2010). Organophosphate pesticide exposure and attention in young Mexican-American children: The CHAMACOS study. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 118, 1768–1774.
- Mason, L.H., Harp, J.P., & Han, D.Y. (2014). Pb neurotoxicity: Neuropsychological effects of lead toxicity. *BioMed Research International*, 2014, 840547.
- Matthews, M., & Fair, D.A. (2015). Research review: Functional brain connectivity and child psychopathology—overview and methodological considerations for investigators new to the field. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 56, 400–414.
- Mazumdar, M., Bellinger, D.C., Gregas, M., Abanilla, K., Bacic, J., & Needleman, H.L. (2011). Low-level environmental lead exposure in childhood and adult intellectual function: A follow-up study. *Environmental Health*, 10, 24.
- McCarthy, J.E., & Copeland, C. (2015). EPA regulations: Too much, too little, or on track? -January 8, 2015 Congressional Research Service 7-5700; www.crs.gov. [last accessed 8 February 2016].
- Menzie, C.A., MacDonell, M.M., & Mumtaz, M. (2007). A phased approach for assessing combined effects from multiple stressors. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 115, 807–816.
- Mohhai, P., Lantz, P.M., Morenoff, H., House, J.S., & Mero, R.P. (2009). Racial and socioeconomic disparities in residential proximity to polluting industrial facilities: evidence from the Americans' Changing Lives Study. *American Journal of Public Health*, 99, S649–S656.
- Morello-Frosch, R., & Shenassa, E. D. (2006). The environmental riskscape and social inequality: Implications for explaining maternal and child health disparities. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 114, 1150–1153.
- Mori, C., Kmoiyama, M., Adachi, T., Sakurai, K., Nishimura, D., Takashima, K., & Todaka, E. (2003). Application of toxicogenomic analysis to risk assessment of delayed long-term effects of multiple chemicals, including endocrine disruptors in human fetuses. *Environmental Health Perspectives Toxicogenomics*, 111(1T), 7–13.
- Morris, S.E., & Cuthbert, B.N. (2012). Research domain criteria: Cognitive systems, neural circuits, and dimensions of behavior. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, 14, 29–37.
- Morris, S.E., Rumsey, J.M., & Cuthbert, B.N. (2014). Rethinking mental disorders: The role of learning and brain plasticity. *Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience*, 32, 5–23.
- Munoz-Quezada, M.T., Lucero, B.A., Barr, D.B., Steenland, K., Levy, K., Ryan, P.B., ... & Vega, C. (2013). Neurodevelopmental effects in children associated with exposure to organophosphate pesticides: A systematic review. *Neurotoxicology*, 39, 158–168.

- Musser, E.D., Hawkey, E., Kachan-Liu, S.S., Lees, P., Rouillet, J., Goddard, K., ... & Nigg, J.T. (2014). Shared familial transmission of autism spectrum and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorders. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 55, 819–827.
- National Research Council (1993a). *Measuring lead exposure in infants, children, and other sensitive populations*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- National Research Council (1993b). *Pesticides in the diets of infants and children*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Needleman, H.L. (2000). The removal of lead from gasoline: Historical and personal reflections. *Environmental Research*, 84, 20–35.
- Needleman, H.L., Gunnoe, C., Leviton, A., Reed, R., Peresie, H., Maher, C., & Barrett, P. (1979). Deficits in psychologic and classroom performance of children with elevated dentine lead levels. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 300, 689–695.
- Needleman, H.L., Schell, A., Bellinger, D., Leviton, A., & Allred, E.N. (1990). The long-term effects of exposure to low doses of lead in childhood. An 11-year follow-up report. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 322, 83–88.
- Needleman, H.L., McFarland, C., Ness, R.B., Fienberg, S.E., & Tobin, M.J. (2002). Bone lead levels in adjudicated delinquents. A case control study. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 24, 711–717.
- Nevin, R. (2007). Understanding international crime trends: The legacy of preschool lead exposure. *Environmental Research*, 104, 315–336.
- Nielsen, S.S., McKean-Cowdin, R., Farin, F.M., Holly, E.A., Preston-Martin, S., & Mueller, B.A. (2010). Childhood brain tumors, residential insecticide exposure, and pesticide metabolism genes. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 118, 144–149.
- Nigg, J.T. (2006). Attention deficits and hyperactivity-impulsivity in children: A multilevel overview of causes and mechanisms. In H.E. Fitzgerald, B.M. Lester & B. Zuckerman (Eds.), *The crisis in youth mental health—critical issues and effective programs, Vol. 1. Childhood disorders* (pp. 157–182). Westport, CT: Praeger.
- Nigg, J.T., Elmore, A.L., Natarajan, N., Friderici, K.H., & Nikolas, M.A. (in press). Variation in iron metabolism gene moderates the association between low-level blood lead exposure and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Psychological Science*, Advanced online publication. doi:10.1177/0956797615618365.
- Nigg, J.T., Knottnerus, G.M., Martel, M.M., Nikolas, M., Cavanagh, K., Karmaus, W., & Rappley, M.D. (2008). Low blood lead levels associated with clinically diagnosed attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and mediated by weak cognitive control. *Biological Psychiatry*, 63, 325–331.
- Nikolas, M.A., & Nigg, J.T. (2013). Neuropsychological performance and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder subtypes and symptom dimensions. *Neuropsychology*, 27, 107–120.
- Polanska, K., Jurewicz, J., & Hanke, W. (2013). Review of current evidence on the impact of pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls and selected metals on attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. *International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health*, 26, 16–38.
- Purcell, S. (2002). Variance components models for gene-environment interactions in twin analysis. *Twin Research*, 5, 554–571.
- Qiao, D., Seidler, F.J., Tate, C.A., Cousins, M.M., & Slotkin, T.A. (2003). Fetal chlorpyrifos exposure: Adverse effects on brain cell development and cholinergic biomarkers emerge postnatally and continue into adolescence and adulthood. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 111, 536–544.
- Rauh, V., Arunajadai, S., Horton, M., Perera, F., Hoepner, L., Barr, D., & Whyatt, R. (2011). 7-year neurodevelopmental scores and prenatal exposure to chlorpyrifos, a common agricultural pesticide. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 119, 1196–1201.
- Rauh, V.A., Whyatt, R.M., Garfinkel, R., Andrews, H., Hoepner, L., Reyes, A., ... & Perera, F.P. (2004). Developmental effects of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke and material hardship among inner-city children. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 26, 373–385.
- Rauh, V.A., Garfinkel, R., Perera, F.P., Andrews, H.F., Hoepner, L., Barr, D.B., ... & Whyatt, R.W. (2006). Impact of prenatal chlorpyrifos exposure on neurodevelopment in the first 3 years of life among inner-city children. *Pediatrics*, 118, e1845–e1859.
- Rauh, V.A., Landrigan, P.J., & Claudio, L. (2008). Housing and health: Intersection of poverty and environmental exposures. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1136, 276–288.
- Rauh, V.A., Perera, F.P., Horton, M.K., Whyatt, R.M., Bansal, R., Hao, X., ... & Peterson, B.S. (2012). Brain anomalies in children exposed prenatally to a common organophosphate pesticide. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109, 7871–7876.
- Rauh, V.A., Garcia, W.E., Whyatt, R.M., Horton, M.K., Barr, D.B., & Louis, E.D. (2015). Prenatal exposure to the organophosphate pesticide chlorpyrifos and childhood tremor. *Neurotoxicology*. Advanced online publication. doi:10.1016/j.neuro.2015.09.004.
- Regan, C.M. (1989). Lead-impaired neurodevelopment. Mechanisms and threshold values in the rodent. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 11, 533–537.
- Report of the Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee on the Dietary Guidelines for Americans. (2010). Springfield, VA: National Technical Information Service.
- Rice, D., & Barone, S., Jr (2000). Critical periods of vulnerability for the developing nervous system: Evidence from humans and animal models. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 108(Suppl. 3), 511–533.
- Richlan, F. (2012). Developmental dyslexia: Dysfunction of a left hemisphere reading network. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 6, 120.
- Roberts, E.M., English, P.B., Grether, J.K., Windham, G.C., Somberg, L., & Wolff, C. (2007). Maternal residence near agricultural pesticide applications and autism spectrum disorders among children in the California Central Valley. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 115, 1482–1489.
- Roberts, J.R., & Karr, C.J. (2012). Council on Environmental Health. American Academy of Pediatrics. Pesticide exposure in children. Technical Report. *Pediatrics*, 130, e1765–e1788.
- Rohlman, D.S., Anger, W.K., Tamulinas, A., Phillips, J., Bailey, S.R., & McCauley, L. (2001). Development of a neurobehavioral battery for children exposed to neurotoxic chemicals. *Neurotoxicology*, 22, 657–665.
- Romyen, S., Hawker, D., & Karnchanasest, B. (2007). Distribution of organophosphate pesticides in a Thai biomass-water system. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health – Part B: Pesticides, Food Contaminants and Agricultural Wastes*, 42, 869–875.
- Rose, G. (1985). Sick individuals and sick populations. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 14, 32–38.
- Rosner, D., & Markowitz, G. (2005). Standing up to the lead industry: An interview with Herbert Needleman. *Public Health Reports*, 120, 330–337.
- Rossignol, D.A., Genuis, S.J., & Frye, R.E. (2014). Environmental toxicants and autism spectrum disorders: A systematic review. *Translational Psychiatry*, 4, e360.
- Rossouw, J., Offermeier, J., & van Rooyen, J.M. (1987). Apparent central neurotransmitter receptor changes induced by low-level lead exposure during different

- developmental phases in the rat. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 91, 132–139.
- Roy, T.S., Seidler, F.J., & Slotkin, T.A. (2002). Prenatal nicotine exposure evokes alterations of cell structure in hippocampus and somatosensory cortex. *The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 300, 124–133.
- Roze, E., Meijer, L., Bakker, A., Van Braeckel, K.N., Sauer, P.J., & Bos, A.F. (2009). Prenatal exposure to organohalogenes, including brominated flame retardants, influences motor, cognitive, and behavioral performance at school age. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 117, 1953–1958.
- Sagiv, S.K., Thurston, S.W., Bellinger, D.C., Amarasiwardena, C., & Korrick, S.A. (2012a). Prenatal exposure to mercury and fish consumption during pregnancy and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder-related behavior in children. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*, 166, 1123–1131.
- Sagiv, S., Thurston, S., Bellinger, D., Amarasiwardena, C., & Korrick, S. (2012b). Cord blood lead levels and ADHD-related behaviors among 8-year old children. *Epidemiology*. Advanced online publication. doi:10.1097/01.ede.0000416818.77049.87.
- Sagiv, S.K., Kalkbrenner, A.E., & Bellinger, D.C. (2015). Of decrements and disorders: Assessing impairments in neurodevelopment in prospective studies of environmental toxicant exposures. *Environmental Health*, 14, 8.
- Schecter, A., Lorber, M., Guo, Y., Wu, Q., Yun, S.H., Kannan, K., ... & Birnbaum, L.S. (2013). Phthalate concentrations and dietary exposure from food purchased in New York State. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 121, 473–494, 494e471–474.
- Schnaas, L., Rothenberg, S.J., Flores, M.F., Martinez, S., Hernandez, C., Osorio, E., ... & Perroni, E. (2006). Reduced intellectual development in children with prenatal lead exposure. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 114, 791–797.
- Schwartz, J. (1994). Societal benefits of reducing lead exposure. *Environmental Research*, 66, 105–124.
- Sciarillo, W.G., Alexander, G., & Farrell, K.P. (1992). Lead exposure and child behavior. *American Journal of Public Health*, 82, 1356–1360.
- Shelton, J.F., Irva Hertz-Picciotto, I., & Pessah, I.N. (2012). Tipping the balance of autism risk: Potential mechanisms linking pesticides and autism. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 120, 944–951.
- Shelton, J.F., Geraghty, E.M., Tancredi, D.J., Delwiche, L.D., Schmidt, R.J., Ritz, B., ... & Hertz-Picciotto, I. (2014). Neurodevelopmental disorders and prenatal residential proximity to agricultural pesticides: The CHARGE study. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 122, 1103–1109.
- Shonkoff, J.P., & Garner, A.S. (2012). The Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health, Committee on Early Childhood, Adoption and Dependent Care and Section on Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics. The lifelong effects of early childhood adversity and toxic stress. *Pediatrics*, 129, e232–e246.
- Silbergeld, E.K. (1992). Mechanisms of lead neurotoxicity, or looking beyond the lamp post. *FASEB Journal*, 6, 3201–3206.
- Slotkin, T.A. (2004). Guidelines for developmental neurotoxicity and their impact on organophosphate pesticides: A personal view from an academic perspective. *Neurotoxicology*, 25, 631–640.
- Slotkin, T.A., & Seidler, F.J. (2012). Does mechanism matter? Unrelated neurotoxicants converge on cell cycle and apoptosis during neurodifferentiation. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 34, 395–402.
- Slotkin, T.A., Levin, E.D., & Seidler, F.J. (2006a). Comparative developmental neurotoxicity of organophosphate insecticides: Effects on brain development are separable from systemic toxicity. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 114, 746–751.
- Slotkin, T.A., Tate, C.A., Ryde, I.T., Levin, E.D., & Seidler, F.J. (2006b). Organophosphate insecticides target the serotonergic system in developing rat brain regions: Disparate effects of diazinon and parathion at doses spanning the threshold for cholinesterase inhibition. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 114, 1542–1546.
- Smith-Spangler, C., Brandeau, M.L., Hunter, G.E., Bavinger, J.C., Pearson, M., Eschbach, P.J., ... & Bravata, D.M. (2012). Are organic foods safer or healthier than conventional alternatives?: A systematic review. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 157, 349–369.
- Suades-González, E., Gascon, M., Guxens, M., & Sunyer, J. (2015). Air pollution and neuropsychological development: A review of the latest evidence. *Endocrinology*, 156, 3473–3482.
- Surgan, M.H., Congdon, T., Primi, C., Lamster, S., & Louis-Jacques, J. (2002). *Pest control in public housing, schools and parks: Urban children at risk*. LAW 180-4 PESP 202-7643. Albany, NY: State Department of Law, Environmental Protection Bureau.
- Surkan, P.J., Zhang, A., Trachtenberg, F., Daniel, D.B., McKinlay, S., & Bellinger, D.C. (2007). Neuropsychological function in children with blood lead levels <10 microg/dL. *Neurotoxicology*, 28, 1170–1177.
- Swanson, J.M., Kinsbourne, M., Nigg, J., Lanphear, B., Stefanatos, G.A., Volkow, N., ... & Wadhwani, P.D. (2007). Etiologic subtypes of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Brain imaging, molecular genetic and environmental factors and the dopamine hypothesis. *Neuropsychology Review*, 17, 39–59.
- Talbott, E.O., Marshall, L.P., Rager, J.R., Arena, V.C., Sharma, R.K., & Stacy, S.L. (2015). Air toxics and the risk of autism spectrum disorder: The results of a population based case-control study in southwestern Pennsylvania. *Environmental Health*, 14, 80.
- Tandon, M., Cardeli, E., & Luby, J. (2009). Internalizing disorders in early childhood: A review of depressive and anxiety disorders. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 18, 593–610.
- Tellez-Rojo, M.M., Bellinger, D.C., Arroyo-Quiroz, C., Lamadrid-Figueroa, H., Mercado-Garcia, A., Schnaas-Arrieta, L., ... & Hu, H. (2006). Longitudinal associations between blood lead concentrations lower than 10 microg/dL and neurobehavioral development in environmentally exposed children in Mexico City. *Pediatrics*, 118, e323–e330.
- Thompson, K.M. (2004). Changes in children's exposure as a function of age and the relevance of age definitions for exposure and health risk assessment. *Medscape General Medicine*, 6, 2.
- US Department of Health and Human Services (2012). *Healthy people 2020: Topics and objectives index*. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (1972). *Health hazards of lead*. Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2000). *Chlorpyrifos revised risk assessment and agreement with registrants*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2002). *Chlorpyrifos end-use products cancellation order*. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2008). *Chemical assessments: Low productivity and new interagency review process limit the usefulness and credibility of EPA's integrated risk information system*, GAO-08-440. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Accountability Office.
- Vellutino, F.R., Fletcher, J.M., Snowling, M.J., & Scanlon, D.M. (2004). Specific reading disability (dyslexia): What have

- we learned in the past four decades? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 45, 2–40.
- Waldman, I.D., & Gizer, I.R. (2006). The genetics of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 26, 396–432.
- Wang, H.L., Chen, X.T., Yang, B., Ma, F.L., Wang, S., Tang, M.L., ... & Ruan, D.Y. (2008). Case-control study of blood lead levels and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in Chinese children. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 116, 1401–1406.
- Wasserman, G.A., Staghezza-Jaramillo, B., Shrout, P., Popovac, D., & Graziano, J. (1998). The effect of lead exposure on behavior problems in preschool children. *American Journal of Public Health*, 88, 481–486.
- Wasserman, G.A., Factor-Litvak, P., Liu, X., Todd, A.C., Kline, J.K., Slavkovich, V., ... & Graziano, J.H. (2003). The relationship between blood lead, bone lead and child intelligence. *Child Neuropsychology*, 9, 22–34.
- Watts, R., Liston, C., Niogi, S., & Ulug, A.M. (2003). Fiber tracking using magnetic resonance diffusion tensor imaging and its applications to human brain development. *Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews*, 9, 168–177.
- Weisskopf, M.G., Kioumourtoglou, M.A., & Roberts, A.L. (2015). Air pollution and autism spectrum disorders: Causal or confounded? *Current Environmental Health Reports*, 2, 430–439.
- Whyatt, R.M., Camann, D.E., Kinney, P.L., Reyes Ramirez, A.J., Dietrich, J., Diaz, D., ... & Perera, F.P. (2002). Residential pesticide use during pregnancy among a cohort of urban minority women. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 110, 507–514.
- Whyatt, R.M., Barr, D.B., Camann, D.E., Kinney, P.L., Barr, J.R., Andrews, H.F., ... & Perera, F.P. (2003). Contemporary-use pesticides in personal air samples during pregnancy and blood samples at delivery among urban minority mothers and newborns. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 111, 749–756.
- Whyatt, R.M., Rauh, V., Barr, D.B., Camann, D.E., Andrews, H.F., Garfinkel, R., ... & Perera, F.P. (2004). Prenatal insecticide exposure and birth weight and length among an urban minority cohort. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 112, 1125–1132.
- Whyatt, R.M., Camann, D., Perera, F.P., Rauh, V.A., Tang, D., Kinney, P.L., ... & Barr, D.B. (2005). Biomarkers in assessing residential insecticide exposures during pregnancy and effects on fetal growth. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 206, 246–254.
- Wild, C.P. (2012). The exposome: From concept to utility. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 41, 24–32.
- World Health Organization. (2009). *Global health risks: Mortality and burden of disease attributable to selected major risks*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization. (2010). *Children's health and the environment WHO training package for the health sector. Pesticide module*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- Wright, J.P., Dietrich, K.N., Ris, M.D., Hornung, R.W., Wessel, S.D., Lanphear, B.P., ... & Rae, M.N. (2008). Association of prenatal and childhood blood lead concentrations with criminal arrests in early adulthood. *PLoS Medicine*, 5, e101.
- Yolton, K., Dietrich, K., Auinger, P., Lanphear, B.P., & Hornung, R. (2005). Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke and cognitive abilities among U.S. children and adolescents. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 113, 98–103.
- Young, J.G., Eskenazi, B., Gladstone, E.A., Bradman, A., Pedersen, L., Johnson, C., ... & Holland, N.T. (2005). Association between in utero organophosphate pesticide exposure and abnormal reflexes in neonates. *Neurotoxicology*, 26, 199–209.
- Zhang, N., Baker, H.W., Tufts, M., Raymond, R.E., Salihu, H., & Elliott, M.R. (2013). Early childhood lead exposure and academic achievement: Evidence from Detroit public schools, 2008–2010. *American Journal of Public Health*, 103, e72–e77.
- Zhang, Y., Han, S., Liang, D., Shi, X., Wang, F., Liu, W., ... & Tian, Y. (2014). Prenatal exposure to organophosphate pesticides and neurobehavioral development of neonates: A birth cohort study in Shenyang, China. *PLoS ONE*, 9, e88491.

Accepted for publication: 5 January 2016