## Discussion of SOME ODDITIES WITH μυ by By Dr. J. O. Nugent Robinson, Illinois

The paper by Dr. Myers should impress us with the great possibilities of Syntonics; seemingly so much new that is being brought out by others is now in the Syntonizer. However, there is very little that I can bring in discussion as Dr. Myers has thoroughly checked all of his statements.

I wonder if we could also use the foods containing the C vitamin, fresh cabbage, tomatoes, oranges and lemon juice as supplementary dietetic treatment in cases of Progressive myopia, as alkalinity causes the humors to be soft thus allowing the eye-ball to stretch and apparently Vitamin C, an acid, helps to change the alkalinity of the eye; for patients subject to stys; cases of Glaucoma; iritis; in fact almost any place where  $\mu\nu$  is indicated as a Syntonic treatment.

There is nothing to be said regarding the case of Mrs. D as she was given almost perfect vision, except that the type of opacity and changes noted were very unusual.

Mrs. X, discharged as a failure. I wonder what some N/L-  $\alpha \upsilon$  or  $\alpha \upsilon$  would have done in this case? I have used both these filters with women and have had results that were very gratifying when –  $\mu \upsilon$  alone failed to improve vision or the opacity.

While on the subject of cataracts – I have a lady who was given drops to hasten the ripening of the cataract for an operation. She has been under my care for over two years, and for the past year there has been an opacity back of the lens, apparently the posterior capsule. Her vision has been about the same, and while she now comes in only once a month, the opacity seems to be clearing up. I will not attempt any more cases where they have been given drops to hasten formation.

As to the bird, I can well believe that he now has young ideas and I am sure could back the ideas up with results if given the opportunity.

I have four or five cases of increase in family of couples married for a number of years with no results. One set of twins.'....

But the most important feature of the bird experiment is that it proves conclusively that psychology has nothing to do with Syntonics and if we type and classify our records correctly, we will get results.

I should like to add to the unusual action of μυ by giving the following:

A young lady, 26 years of age with conical cornea. I first refracted her in 1927 and at that time her vision uncorrected was 3/60 O.D. and 6/60 O.S. Keratometer findings were O.D.  $50 \times 145/43 \times 55$ , rather irregular; O.S.  $48 \times 125/43.75 \times 35$ . Correction O.D.  $+4.08 \times 180$ . Vision 6/12; O.S.  $+3.08 \times 165$ , vision  $6/5 \times 1930$  correction was changed to O.D.  $+4.50 \times 165$ , vision 6/15; O. S.  $+3.50 \times 165$ , vision  $6/6 \times 1930$ . Keratometer findings the same as 1927. In 1931 vision with old correction, O.D. 6/60 and O.S. 6/10, with O.D.  $+5.50 \times 180$ , V.6/30, and O.S.  $+4.00 \times 165$ , v.  $6/6 \times 1930$ . Keratometer same as 1927.

She came to me again in 1933 with only 6/60 V., slightly clearer with left eye. The Keratometer gave O.D.  $46 \times 55$  very irregular and off scale for the other meridian. O.S.  $48.5 \times 60$  same as right. Vision could not be improved. I advised a thorough check and took her to two real ophthalmologists who told her they could do nothing for her but did not thinks he would go blind.

I have given her over 150 syntonizations and today with O.D. +5.-8.50 x 90; O.S. +4.-7.00 x 90 she is getting 6/6. Doing a lot of reading by day but not at night and feels like a different person. The cornea is still very irregular and you cannot make a Keratometer reading. This was done with L-  $\mu\nu$ D. I have had a number of cases of Chalazion and have cleared all by L-  $\mu\nu$  when the book calls for  $\mu\delta$  in conjunction with  $\alpha\delta$ .